Decomposition of leaf litter collected from an old-field community grown under a combination of elevated atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations (+300ppm) and elevated surface temperature (+ 3.2°C) was examined in ambient conditions over 8 months in two separate experiments. In the first experiment, we examined the main effects and interactions of CO$_2$ and warming on litter quality and subsequent mass loss rates. Multi-species litter bags were constructed with litter collected from chambers with ambient CO$_2$ and ambient temperatures (ACAT), elevated CO$_2$ and elevated temperature (ECET), ambient CO$_2$ and elevated temperature (ACET), and elevated CO$_2$ and ambient temperature (ECAT). Litter collected from 6 species in each chamber was represented in decomposition bags in equal proportions. There were no differences in initial litter percent carbon (C) or nitrogen (N) among treatments. After 8 months, litter collected from ACET chambers lost over 20% more mass than litter collected from ECET or ACAT chambers, although biological differences were small. In the second experiment, we examined the indirect effect climate change may have on plant community composition, litter inputs, and subsequent mass loss rates. Litter bags were made from the same chambers mentioned above, but the amount of litter in the bag from each species was proportional to peak standing biomass of that species within the treatment. Initial litter in ECAT bags had up to 4% less C and 29% less N than ECET and ACET bags. Mass loss from ACET bags was 48% higher than mass loss from ECAT bags and 37% higher than mass loss from ACAT bags after 8 months of decomposition. These differences may have been driven by the higher proportion of litter from Lespedeza, a N-fixing species, in the natural ACET bags. Taken together, these data suggest that climate change will have a larger effect on decomposition by causing shifts in plant communities than it will by altering litter quality.

INTRODUCTION

Global changes in atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration, temperature, and moisture will have important consequences for the functioning of ecosystems [1]. Climatic warming will occur in response to rising atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations, and elevated CO$_2$ can alter or compensate for many of the responses of plants and ecosystems to temperature, thus the effects of warming and CO$_2$ on ecosystem responses should be studied together [2]. Previous research has focused on how single factors such as changes in CO$_2$ concentrations [e.g. 1] or changes in temperature [e.g. 3] may alter ecosystem functions such as decomposition, but the interactions of climate change drivers have been less studied [4-5]. Interactions among climate drivers may increase or decrease the effect single factors have on ecosystem function, thus understanding how they shape ecosystem responses is important if we are to make good predictions about how ecosystems will respond to climatic change in the future.

Decomposition is the process that links decaying organic material to living organisms by transforming nutrients from organic to inorganic forms [6]. Decomposition is responsible for recycling nutrients, including carbon, in ecosystems and can be an important indicator of changes in plant available nutrients [6]. Ecosystem decomposition and nutrient release rates can be altered when the quality of litter inputs into ecosystem changes [7-8]. Litter quality inputs could be altered by changing the litter chemistry and inputs of existing plants or it can happen when the community of plants in an ecosystem changes [4]. Much research has focused on how increases in atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations may alter initial litter quality and thus decomposition rates [e.g., 1] or how climate may alter decomposition rates [e.g., 9]. It is possible that changes in climate will also alter the community of species in an ecosystem and
the change in species litter inputs will be greater than the change in litter chemistry due to elevated CO₂. Additionally, until recently, research has focused on manipulating single climate change factors such as the effects of elevated CO₂ or elevated temperature on ecosystem processes [e.g., 1, 3]. These factors, however, will not occur independently and are likely to alter ecosystem processes differently, perhaps mediating some of the effects [10]. This project investigated how climate change drivers (elevated CO₂ and temperature) and their interactions affected decomposition rates either directly by altering litter quality or indirectly by altering the community of species present, which would then alter the quality of litter input to the ecosystem.

An open-top chamber experiment was utilized that contained a constructed old-field ecosystem with plant species that included C3 and C4 grasses, forbs, and legumes. Two experiments were constructed that investigated the direct (litter quality) and indirect (changes in species composition) effects of elevated CO₂ and temperature on decomposition rates in an adjacent old-field ecosystem. The first experiment examined the main effects and interactions of CO₂ and warming on litter quality and subsequent mass loss rates. The second experiment examined the indirect effect climate change may have on plant community composition, litter inputs, and subsequent mass loss rates. Changes in atmospheric CO₂ and temperature appear to have a greater effect on decomposition rates by changing the species composition in the chambers than by causing a change in litter chemistry.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Site Description**

This experiment was conducted on the Oak Ridge National Laboratory National Ecological Research Park (NERP) in Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA. Agricultural use of the site was abandoned in 1943 and the soil at the site is classified as Captina silt loam [11]. The Oldfield Community Climate and Atmospheric Manipulation (OCCAM) project was established in 2002. Five research plots in three blocks (n = 3) were trenched to 75cm to create 4-m diameter plots. Each block of plots was comprised of three 4-m diameter plots, with each plot having two chambers (EC and ET) and two control plots: chambers with no warming (AC) and chambers with no CO₂ enrichment (Standard). The chambers were open-top (OTC) with rain-out shelters that contained the following treatments: EC = Elevated CO₂, AC = Ambient CO₂, ET = Elevated Temperature, AC = Ambient Temperature, Standard = Ambient amount of litter in each bag.

**Experimental Design**

At the end of the growing season in 2005, leaf litter material was collected from species in each plot. Litter was brought back to the laboratory, air-dried, and each species was homogenized within each plot. Insufficient leaf material was available to use litter from wet and dry treatments, so wet and dry treatments were combined. Also, *Trifolium* was not present in significant amounts in 2005 and thus was not included in the experiment. Using peak biomass data from each species in each of the plots in 2005 (Engle et al. unpublished data), decomposition bags were constructed that reflected the proportion of plant material entering the ecosystem in each of the treatments (hereafter ‘natural’ bags). Decomposition bags were also constructed that contained a standard amount of leaf material collected in each plot (hereafter ‘standard’ bags). These bag types represent the different possible effects treatments (elevated temperature and CO₂) may have on decomposition; direct via tissue quality changes, indirect via species composition changes. Each decomposition bag contained approximately 2g of leaf material (Table 1).

Leaf litter decomposition bags (15.5cm x 15.5cm) were constructed of a double layer of 3-mm nylon mesh on the side facing up and a single layer of 1.3mm plastic window screening on the side in contact with the soil surface. The larger mesh size on top enabled litter microarthropods to access the bags, while the smaller mesh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment (bag designation)</th>
<th>Plantago lanceolata</th>
<th>Andropogon</th>
<th>Solidago canadensis</th>
<th>Dactylis glomerata</th>
<th>Lespedeza cuneata</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECET</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACET</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Leaf litter mass (g) placed in decomposition bags in the field. Litter mass was determined from peak standing biomass of plants in the open-top chamber experiment. Treatments are designated as following: EC = Elevated CO₂, AC = Ambient CO₂, ET = Elevated Temperature, AC = Ambient Temperature, Standard = Ambient amount of litter in each bag.
on the bottom reduced litter loss due to fractionation. Bags were stitched together on three sides with polyester thread and closed with stainless steel staples. For each chamber, two replicates of natural and standard bags were constructed with three removal dates. In total, 144 bags were placed in an old field adjacent to the experimental plots (12 plots x 2 bag types x 2 replicates x 3 removal dates = 144). The adjacent old field contained species that were similar to those found within the experimental plots. The experimental chambers were not used for this experiment, as insufficient space in the plots for the decomposition bags and their placement would have compromised the decomposition.

Decomposition bags were deployed in May of 2005 and collected in July 2005, September 2005, and January 2006. Litter bags were secured to the soil surface using metal stakes and their locations flagged for easy collection. Upon collection, decomposition bags were brought back to the laboratory in individual paper bags, air-dried, and sorted to remove debris that might have entered the bags. Subsamples were analyzed for total C and N using a Costech CHN analyzer (Milan, Italy). Samples were ashed in a muffle furnace at 550°C for 6 hours and data are presented on an ash-free oven-dry mass basis.

**Data Analysis**

All statistical analyses were conducted using JMP 4 statistical software with significance defined as P ≤ 0.05 (SAS Institute, 2001, Pacific Grove, CA). Natural and standard decomposition bag data were analyzed separately. Initial litter chemistry data were analyzed using ANOVA with the independent variable chamber type (ECAT, ACAT, ECET, ACET). For decomposition data, full factorial, fixed effects ANOVAs were conducted to test the independent variables of chamber type (ECAT, ACAT, ECET, ACET) and sample removal date (July, September, January) with interactions on mass loss. A student-t test was used to test for differences among treatment means. Proportional data were transformed using the arcsine square root transformation. All data are shown as non-transformed means in figures and tables. Where appropriate, data are shown on an ash-free oven-dry mass basis.

**RESULTS**

Initial litter %C, %N, and C:N values were not different among treatments in standard decomposition bags (Table 2). Initial litter chemistry in natural decomposition bags differed significantly among treatments (Table 2). % C values were 4.5% higher (F_{3,11} = 5.48, P = 0.02) and %N were 41% higher (F_{3,11} = 4.19, P < 0.05) in ACET and ECET chambers than the ECAT chambers (Table 2). C:N (F_{3,11} = 4.87, P = 0.03) values were significantly lower in ACET and ECET chambers relative to ECAT chambers (Table 2).

Chamber type (F_{1,3} = 3.53, P = 0.03) and removal date (F_{2,11} = 301.41, P < 0.001) each had a significant effect on standard decomposition bag mass loss, but there were no interactions (Table 3, Figure 1). ACET showed the highest rates of mass loss followed by ECAT and ECET. ACET mass loss rates were significantly higher than ECET and ACAT rates (P < 0.05, Table 3, Figure 1). ECAT bags contained 26% more mass than ACAT bags after 8 months of decomposition (Figure 1).

Chamber type (F_{1,3} = 170.15, P < 0.001) also had a significant effect on natural decomposition bag mass loss, and again there were no interactions (Table 3, Figure 1). Once again, ACET lost more mass than the other treatments followed by ECAT, ACAT, and ECAT. ECAT showed significantly higher mass loss rates than ECAT and ACAT. ECAT showed significantly slower mass loss rates than ACET and ECAT (Figure 1). After 8 months of decomposition, 94% more mass remained in ECAT bags than ACET bags (Figure 1).
DISCUSSION

This project resulted in two significant findings: 1) Although initial litter %C and %N did not differ among treatments in standard decomposition bags, litter from ACET chambers lost over 20% more mass than litter collected from other chambers, the biological difference is small and unlikely to change nutrient cycling rates, at least during the first 8 months of the decomposition process. These results support other studies showing minimal effects of elevated CO₂ on litter chemistry [12-13] and decomposition [1]. These studies suggest that other impacts of global change on decomposition, such as changes in species composition, may have a larger impact on litter mass loss and litter nutrient dynamics in ecosystems than small changes in litter chemistry [1].

Elevated CO₂ and temperature mediate decomposition via their impacts on plant community composition

Previous research has documented that changes in plant species composition in communities will occur with rising atmospheric CO₂ concentrations and temperature [4]. This shift in plant community composition may lead to changes in mass loss and nutrient cycling if nutrient rich or nutrient poor species become more abundant in the community. For example, if changes in climate lead to an increase in N-fixers in an N-limited ecosystem, then decomposition and nutrient availability may increase with an increase in N-rich plant material [8]. Alternatively, N-fixers could become less abundant, leading to a decrease in the quality of litter inputs at a community-level and an overall decrease in community litter decomposition and nutrient cycling rates [7]. The current study found that treatments that resulted in a greater abundance of N-fixers also had higher rates of decomposition after 8 months (Figure 1). Lespedeza, a N-fixing shrub, was found in higher proportion in the ACET and ECET chambers compared to ACAT and ECAT. It is possible that elevated temperature created a more favorable climate for Lespedeza to establish in, thus leading to an increase in N inputs and decomposition rates of litter in these plots.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study corroborate those of other studies demonstrating that the indirect effect of climate change on species composition has a larger impact on decomposition than the direct effect of climate on initial litter chemical quality [9]. However, it is important to remember that resource availability, such as site nitrogen availability, and the large amount of variation found within natural ecosystems substantially broadens the range and timing of climate effects on nutrient processes [7]. When establishing experiments and developing hypotheses about climate impacts on ecosystems, researchers should be as cognizant of how the existing community may shift as they are about how the existing plants will respond to a single climate change factor.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank ORNL for an invaluable research opportunity. Many thanks to Aimée Classen and Richard Norby for their guidance, leadership, endless support, and dedication throughout the project. I would also like to thank Rebecca Roha, Emily Mitchell, Colleen Iversen, Joanne Ledford, Luke Zachmann, Katherine Sides, Emmi Felker-Quinn, Joey Roberts, and Kerri Crawford for their assistance. Suggestions by two DOE reviewers greatly improved this manuscript. This project was funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Biological and Environmental Research Program and completed as part of its Student Undergraduate Laboratory Internship (SULI) program. ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725.

REFERENCES


